

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 WILLIAM E. FLORENCE,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 REALTIME RESOLUTIONS, INC., *et al.*,

11 Defendants.
12


Case No. 2:16-cv-00692-APG-GWF

ORDER

13 This matter is before the Court on the Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 67) filed on
14 October 14, 2016. The proposed protective order is unclear whether the party, who asserts that
15 particular information should be treated as confidential under the protective order, has the burden of
16 proof to establish that the information or document is entitled to such protection. The parties are
17 directed to submit a revised protective order with that clarification. Accordingly,

18 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 67) is **denied**,
19 without prejudice.

20 DATED this 14th day of October, 2016.

21
22 
23 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
24 United States Magistrate Judge
25
26
27
28